

### OFFICIAL NOTICE AND AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that a public meeting will be held on the date, time and location shown below. Upon attaining a quorum of members, all items listed on the agenda shown below may be considered (discussed and/or acted upon).

Regular/Special Meeting: Public

**Public Works Committee** 

Date and Time:

Monday, May 12, 2025 at 6:00 pm

Location:

Deerfield Village Hall, 23 West Nelson St., Deerfield, WI 53531

Members:

Kerri Hewitt, Scott Tebon, Gary Wieczorek

(Number of Members needed to meet quorum requirements: 2)

1. Roll Call

2. Announcements

- a. The next regularly scheduled meeting is June 9, 2025 at 6:00 pm
- 3. Approval of the May 12, 2025 Public Works Committee Agenda
- 4. Minutes of the March 10, 2025 meeting
- 5. Public Works Update
  - a. Discuss/Possible Action on Resolution 2025-04 Approving the Submittal of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources NR 208 Compliance Maintenance Annual Report
- 6. Adjournment

Maggie Darr Village Administrator Notice Posted: May 9, 2025

ANY PERSON WHO HAS A QUALIFYING DISABILITY AS DEFINED BY THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITY ACT THAT REQUIRES THE MEETING OR MATERIALS TO BE IN AN ACCESSIBLE LOCATION OR FORMAT, MAY CONTACT THE VILLAGE CLERK AT (608) 764-5404 OR 23 W NELSON ST., FOR ACCOMMODATIONS. REQUESTS FOR ACCOMMODATIONS FOR MEETINGS SHOULD BE MADE AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING. EVERY EFFORT WILL BE MADE TO ARRANGE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR ALL MEETINGS.

Note: It is possible that members of and possibly a quorum of members of other governmental bodies of the municipality may be in attendance at the above stated meeting to gather information; no action will be taken by any governmental body at the above stated meeting other than the governmental body specifically referred to in this notice.



### MINUTES OF AN OFFICIAL MEETING:

Notice is hereby given that a public meeting will be held on the date, time and location shown below. Upon attaining a quorum of members, all items listed on the agenda shown below may be considered (discussed and/or acted upon).

Regular/Special Meeting:

**Public Works Committee** 

Date and Time:

Monday, March 10, 2025 at 6:00 pm

Location:

Deerfield Village Hall, 23 West Nelson St., Deerfield, WI 53531

Members Present:

Greg Frutiger, Scott Tebon

Members Excused:

Gary Wieczorek

Others Present

Maggie Darr, Village Administrator

Nathan Paoli, Director of Public Works

Greg Droessler & Billie Kershaw, Town & Country Engineering

1. Roll Call

The Public Works Committee meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. Frutiger & Tebon present; Wieczorek absent.

- 2. Announcements
  - a. The next regularly scheduled meeting is April 14, 2025 at 6:00 pm
- 3. Approval of the March 10, 2025 Public Works Committee Agenda Motion by Tebon, second by Frutiger to approve the agenda of the March 10, 2025 Public Works Committee. Motion carried unanimously (2-0).
- 4. Minutes of the February 10, 2024 Meeting.

Motion by Tebon, second by Frutiger to approve the minutes of the February 10, 2025 Public Works Committee meeting. Motion carried unanimously (2-0).

- 5. Public Works Update
  - a. Discuss/Possible Action recommending approval of Task Order No. 3, Wastewater
     Treatment Facility Upgrades Design, with Town & Country Engineering, Inc. to the Village Board

Mr. Droessler summarized the request to approve Task Order No. 3 and next steps of the design process. Tebon requested structuring the contract as a "not to exceed" rather than a lump sum payment. The contract for construction quality assurance (CQA) will come back before the Village Board along with the construction contract.

Motion by Tebon, second by Frutiger to recommend approval of Task Order No. 3, Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades Design, with Town & Country Engineering, Inc. to the Village Board, subject to the contract payment terms being changed to a "not to exceed" rather than lump sum. Motion carried unanimously (2-0).

### 6. Adjournment

Motion by Tebon, second by Frutiger to adjourn the Public Works Committee meeting at 6:05 p.m. Motion carried unanimously (2-0).

Respectfully submitted by: Maggie Darr, Village Administrator

### VILLAGE OF DEERFIELD RESOLUTION NO. 2025-04

Committee: Public Works Committee Committee Date: May 12, 2025 Committee Recommendation:

Public Hearing: n/a
Board Date: May 12, 2025

Board Action:

# A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SUBMITTAL OF THE WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NR 208 COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORT

WHEREAS, it is a requirement under a Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (WPDES) permit issued by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources for Sewer Utilities to file a Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR) for its wastewater collection system under Wisconsin Administrative Code NR 208; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to acknowledge that the governing body has reviewed the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR); and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to provide recommendations or an action response plan for all individual CMAR section grades (of "C" or less) and/or an overall grade point average (< 3.00);

**Now, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Village Board of the Village of Deerfield that the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report has been reviewed and approved by the Village Board for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2024.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that since the Village Sewer Utility received a grade point average of 3.51 (total grade point of 4.00) there is no need to develop, submit and implement a corrective action plan.

Adopted this 12th day of May, 2025.

Approved:	
Village President Kerri Hewitt	>*************************************
Attested:	
Village Administrator Maggie Darr	

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

### **Influent Flow and Loading**

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	×	8.34	II	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.1593	х	263	х	8.34	=	349
February	0.1502	Х	306	х	8.34	=	383
March	0.1498	Х	349	х	8.34	=	436
April	0.1744	Х	222	х	8.34	=	322
May	0.1546	Х	230	х	8.34		296
June	0.1787	Х	328	Х	8.34	=	488
July	0.1745	Х	297	х	8.34	=	433
August	0.1592	х	213	Х	8.34	=	282
September	0.1488	х	243	х	8.34	=	302
October	0.1403	Х	261	х	8.34	=	305
November	0.1467	Х	313	х	8.34	=	383
December	0.1469	Х	346	Х	8.34	=	424

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	T =	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.393	х	90	=	0.3537
		Х	100	=	.393
Design BOD, lbs/day	1060	x	90	T =	954
		х	100	=	1060

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times
	of		flow was greater		BOD was greater
	Influent		than 100% of		than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	. 0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1.	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	i	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ich	2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Numb	er of Po	inte	1		0

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/	5/2025	2024
3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year?  ● Yes  Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)  2024-02-12		
o No If No, please explain:		
<ul> <li>4. Sewer Use Ordinance</li> <li>4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If No, please explain:</li> <li>4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If Yes, please explain:</li> </ul>		
<ul><li>5. Septage Receiving</li><li>5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility?</li><li>Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps</li></ul>		
o Yes o Yes	•	
● No ● No ● No		
5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons.  Septic Tanks  O Yes  gallons		
No     Holding Tanks     O Yes     gallons		
• No		
Grease Traps O Yes gallons		
<ul> <li>No</li> <li>5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected any of these wastes.</li> </ul>	d when receiving	<b>,</b>
<ul> <li>6. Pretreatment</li> <li>6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolic or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attrib commercial or industrial discharges in the last year?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>		ns,
If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.		
6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?		

Last Updated: Reporting For:

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2025

2024

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

10

### Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD** 

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	20	18	9	1	0	0
February	20	18	8	1	0	0
March	20	18	9	1	0	0
April	20	18	11	1	0	0
May	20	18	6	1	0	0
June	20	18	6	1	0	0
July	20	18	9	1	0	0
August	20	18	10	1	0	0
September	20	18	13	1	0	0
October	20	18	5	11	0	0
November	20	18	6	1	0	0
December	20	18	20	1	1	1
		* Equ	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of d	Months of discharge/yr 12					
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge 7						3
Exceedances 1						1
Points	oints 7					
Total numb	per of points					10

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge, Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

The exceedance in December was due to a grit system failure. The piece of equipment was repair and back in working order.

- 2. Flow Meter Calibration
- 2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?
- Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2024-02-12

O No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

NONE

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals? o Yes

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated:	Reporting	For
5/5/2025	2024	

● No  If Yes, please explain:  4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?  ○ Yes  ● No  If Yes, please explain:  4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  ○ Yes  ○ No  ● N/A  Please explain unless not applicable:	
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?  O Yes  No If Yes, please explain:  4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  O Yes  O No  N/A	● No
toxicity (WET) test?  ○ Yes  ● No  If Yes, please explain:  4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  ○ Yes  ○ No  ● N/A	If Yes, please explain:
toxicity (WET) test?  ○ Yes  ● No  If Yes, please explain:  4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  ○ Yes  ○ No  ● N/A	
toxicity (WET) test?  ○ Yes  ● No  If Yes, please explain:  4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  ○ Yes  ○ No  ● N/A	4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent
● No If Yes, please explain:  4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  ○ Yes ○ No ● N/A	, ,
If Yes, please explain:  4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  o Yes  o No  N/A	o Yes
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  O Yes O No N/A	● No
source(s) of toxicity?  o Yes  o No  • N/A	If Yes, please explain:
source(s) of toxicity?  o Yes  o No  • N/A	
o Yes o No ● N/A	
o No ● N/A	source(s) of toxicity?
● N/A	o Yes
'	o No
Please explain unless not applicable:	• N/A
	Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	В

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)**

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	20	18	12	1	0	0
February	20	18	6	1	0	0
March	20	18	10	1	0	0
April	20	18	7	1	0	0
May	20	18	7	1	0	0
June	20	18	3	1	0	0
July	20	18	4	1	0	0
August	20	18	5	1	0	0
September	20	18	9	1	0	0
October	20	18	2	1	0	0
November	20	18	3	1	0	0
December	20	18	13	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per	each exceed	ance with 12	months of disch	arge:	7	3
Exceedance	S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0	0
Points					0	0
Total Num	ber of Points				Section 1.	0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)**

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
002	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed				for Week	Exceed
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3 .	4	ance
January	9	17	.2	0	2,	2.	.2	.2	0
February	9	17	.2	0	.2	.2	.2	.2	.0
March	9	17	.2	0	.2	,2	,2	.2	0
April	7 -	14	.513	0	.2	565،	1.085	.2	0
May	7	14	.2	0	.2	.2	.2	.2	0
June	9.5	15	.43	0	.2	.2	.967	.2	0
July	9.5	15	.2	0	.2	.2	.2	.2	0
August	9.5	15	14.175	1	.2	20.5	21.5	14.5	2
September	9.5	15	1.475	0	5.3	.2	.2	.2	0
October	9	17	.202	0	.2	.2	.207	.2	0
November	9	17	.2	0	.2	.2	.2	.2	0
December	9	17	1.361	0	.44	.475	1.73	2.8	0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	1onthly av	erage:					10
Exceedances	s, Monthly	<b>'</b>							1
Points:						·			10
Points per e	ach excee	dance of v	veekly ave	rage (who	en there is	no month	nly averag	e):	2.5
Exceedances	s, Weekly:								2
Points:									0
Total Numb	er of Po	ints							10

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

The effluent ammonia weekly limit for week 3 exceedance was due to the DO system not communicating the correct reading of the DO probe. System was cleaned and recalibrated.

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	В

10

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)**

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus	Months of Discharge with a	Permit Limit Exceedance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	
January	11	0.153	1	0
February	1	0.122	1	0
March	1	0.135	1	0
April	1	0.072	1	0
May	1	0.141	1	0
June	1	0.250	1	0
July	1	0.368	1	0
August	1	0.175	1	0
September	1	0.250	1	0
October	1	0.127	1	0
November	1	0.449	1	0
December	1	1.804	1	1
Months of Dischar	g <b>e/y</b> r		12	
Points per each	exceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	ge:	10
Exceedances				1
Total Number of	Points			10

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

The exceedance in December was due to a grit system failure. The piece of equipment was repair and back in working order.

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	В

10

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

### **Biosolids Quality and Management**

1	. Biosolids 1.1 How d	lid you opplie y Distinct to a lied rated you ons, re	u used und tribut nothed did nothed	e or dis ler you ed Exc er perr ot rem eds, re	ur pe ception nitted ove l circu	rmit onal ( d faci oloso lating	Quali llity lids f g san	ty Bi	osolid your ers, e	ds syste					you!	r sys	tem ty	/pe su	ch	
2	. Land App 2.1 Last Y 2.1.1 How 367.5 ac 2.1.2 How 26 2.2 If you 2.3 Did you 9 Yes (30 9 No 2.4 Have a years? 9 Yes 9 No (10	ear's w ma cres w ma did r ou ove ) poir	Appr ny ac ny ac not ha erapp nts)	oved a res did acro ove end	d you d you es ough ogen	use acre	e? ? s for	your f you	land	l app	licati ed lar	nd ap	plica	tion s	sites	you ı	used l	ast ye	ar?	0
3. 10 0	3. Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:  3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.    Outfall No. 002 - SLUDGE   Parameter   80%   H.Q.   Ceiling   Jan   Feb   Mar   Apr   May   Jun   Jul   Aug   Sep   Oct   Nov   Dec   80%   High   Value   Quality																			

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

9.1

820

**Exceedence Points** 

80

100

2800 7500

0 (O Points)

Selenium

Zinc

#### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2025 **2024** 

0

0

- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 Exceedence Points
- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1 (10 Points)
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- o Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?
- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2024 - 12/31/2024
Density:	28,800
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Aerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Digesters are being aerated 24hrs a day seven days a week.

- 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.
- 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?

  O Yes (40 Points)
- No

If yes, what action was taken?

- 5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):
- 5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

# **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2025 2024

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5/5/2025	2024	
Outfall Number:	002		
Method Date:	12/31/2024		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Injection when land apply		
Requirement Met:	Yes		
Land Applied:	Yes		
Limit (if applicable):			
Results (if applicable):			0
<ul> <li>5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the proces</li> <li>Yes (40 Points)</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, what action was taken?</li> </ul>	s criteria not met at the time of land application?		
6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current blofacility have either on-site or off-site?	osolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatme	nt	0
7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids is NONE	sues with treatment, use or overall management:		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

# Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

<ul> <li>Plant Staffing</li> <li>I.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If No, please explain:</li> </ul>	
Could use 20hr a week staff.	╝
Could use more help/staff for:	_
General maintenance.	
<ul> <li>1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If No, please explain:</li> </ul>	
	$\mathbb{T}$
2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?  ■ Yes (Continue with question 2) □□  ○ No (40 points)□□	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
	Ш
<ul> <li>2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No (10 points)</li> </ul>	0
<ul><li>2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Paper file system</li> <li>Computer system</li> <li>Both paper and computer system</li> <li>No (10 points)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. O&amp;M Manual</li> <li>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&amp;M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	
<ul> <li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li> <li>o Excellent</li> <li>o Very good</li> <li>Good</li> <li>o Fair</li> <li>o Poor</li> </ul>	
Describe your rating:	_
The WWTP is being maintained per O&M manuals	

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/5/2025	2024

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

0

### Operator Certification and Education

- 1. Operator-In-Charge
- 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?
- Yes (0 points)
- O No (20 points)

Name:

NATHAN L PAOLI

Certification No:

31701

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP	OIC		
Class	1	Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	Х			X
A2	Attached Growth Processes				
А3	Recirculating Media Filters				
Α4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
В	Solids Separation	Х			X
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х			X
Р	Total Phosphorus	Х			X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection				
Ĺ	Laboratory				X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	X	NA

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance.)

- Yes (0 points)
- o No (20 points)
- 2.3 For wastewater treatment facilities with a registered or certified laboratory, is at least one operator that works in the laboratory certified at the basic level in the laboratory (L) subclass?
- o Yes
- O No
- N/A Wastewater treatment facility does not have a registered or certified laboratory
- 2.4 For wastewater treatment facilities that own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system, has at least one operator been designated the QIC for sanitary sewage collection system and certified at the basic level in the sanitary sewage collection system (SS) subclass?
- Yes
- O No
- o N/A Owner of the Wastewater treatment facility does not own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system
- 3. Succession Planning
- 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?
- ☐ One or more additional certified operators on staff

0

### Last Updated: Reporting For: **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 5/5/2025 2024 ☐ An arrangement with another certified operator ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator ☐An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year ☒ A consultant to serve as your certified operator. 0 ☐ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain: 4. Continuing Education Credits 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates? OIT and Basic Certification: O Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Advanced Certification: Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. O Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

### **Compliance Maintenance Annual Report** Last Updated: Reporting For: Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility 5/5/2025 2024 Financial Management 1. Provider of Financial Information Name: Maggie Darr Telephone: (XXX) XXX-XXXX 608-764-5404 E-Mail Address (optional): mdarr@deerfieldwi.com 2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system? Yes (0 points) □□ No (40 points) If No, please explain: 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 0 2024 0-2 years ago (0 points) □□ o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? • Yes (0 points) O No (40 points) REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3] 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2024 • 1-2 years ago (0 points)□□ o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ o N/A If N/A, please explain:

3.2	Equip	ment	: K	epiacement	runa	ACTIV	ity

### 3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR

\$ 747,942.53

+

3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)

\$ 747,942.53

0.00

3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance

\$ 747,942.53

3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)

\$ 26,626.49

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Update 5/5/2025	d: Reporting <b>2024</b>	
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)  3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR	24,568.	<del></del>	
Reporting Year  All Sources: This ending balance should include all	750,000.	18	
Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.			
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs	s from 3.2.5 a	bove.	
Purchased make-up air unit for Wastewater Treatment Plant to ensure c \$24,568.84.	ontinuity of o	perations:	
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 750,0	00.00		0
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based or Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructi header in the left-side menu.  3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?  • Yes  • No	Further calcuons link unde	llation r Info	
If No, please explain.			
<ul> <li>4. Future Planning</li> <li>4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for user or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?</li> <li>Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already lise on No</li> <li>Project Description</li> </ul>	ted below.□[		
#		Construction	
1 Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$5,187,800	Year 2026	
	\$5,167,600	2026	
5. Financial Management General Comments	and the second s		
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE			
<ul><li>6. Collection System</li><li>6.1 Energy Usage</li><li>6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:</li></ul>			
COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed			
Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 3			

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 5/5/2025 2024 **Electricity Consumed | Natural Gas Consumed** (therms) (kWh) 696 **January February** 598 March 783 859 **April** 733 May June 762 732 July 915 **August** 745 September 728 October November 626 798 December 8,975 **Total** 0 **Average** 748 0 6.1.2 Comments: 6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply): ☐ Comminution or Screening ☐ Extended Shaft Pumps ☐ Flow Metering and Recording ☐ Pneumatic Pumping ☐ Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps ☐ Variable Speed Drives ☐ Other: 6.2.2 Comments: 6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No o Yes Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2025 2024

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

#### TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	39,200	4.94	7,935	10.82	3,623	776
February	29,200	4.36	6,697	11.11	2,628	605
March	20,000	4,64	4,310	13.52	1,479	449
April	20,000	5.23	3,824	9.66	2,070	385
May	15,600	4.79	3,257	9.18	1,699	98
June	16,000	5.36	2,985	14.64	1,093	8
July	13,200	5.41	2,440	13.42	984	2
August	13,600	4.94	2,753	8.74	1,556	2
September	16,800	4.46	3,767	9.06	1,854	9
October	14,000	4.35	3,218	9.46	1,480	11
November	15,600	4.40	3,545	11.49	1,358	56
December	27,600	4.55	6,066	13.14	2,100	737
Total	240,800	57.43		134,24		3,138
Average	20,067	4.79	4,233	11.19	1,827	262

7.	1	.2	Cor	nm	en	ıts	:

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment		

- 7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
- ☑ Aerobic Digestion
- ☐ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☑ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- Effluent Pumping
  - ☑ Fine Bubble Diffusers
  - ☑ Influent Pumping

  - ☐ Nitrification
- ☑ SCADA System
- ☐ UV Disinfection
- ☑ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

# **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2025 2024 7.2.2 Comments: 7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No o Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☐ Flared Off ☐ Building Heat ☐ Process Heat ☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other: 9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? No o Yes ☐ Entire facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment: ☐ Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
•	5/5/2025	2024

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

### **Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems**

1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
o No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
o No (30 points) o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
THO OF NAME CAPITATION
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the
components and items that apply)
☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
To maintain collection system with annual cleaning and vactor work. Rehab of Manholes including innner seals and Covers
Did you accomplish them?
• Yes
○ No
If No, explain:
☑ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]□□
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
☑ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?  Village of Deerfield Sewer Use Ordiance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and
revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2024-01-02
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:  Private property inflow and infiltration
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
☐Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary ☑ Fat, oil and grease control
☑ Fac, on and grease control ☐ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
☑ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below) ☑ Capacity assessment program ☑ Basement back assessment and correction ☑ Regular O&M training ☑ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]
☐ ☐
☐ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements □ Construction, Inspection, and Testing ☐ Others: ☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]□□ 0 Does your emergency response capability include: Responsible personnel communication procedures ☑ Response order, timing and clean-up ☑ Public notification protocols ☑ Training ☑ Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures ☐ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210,23 (5)]☐☐ ☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) ☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP) ☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☐ Others: 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. 25 % of system/year Cleaning 25 % of system/year Root removal 0 % of system/year Flow monitoring % of system/year Smoke testing Sewer line % of system/year televising Manhole 10 % of system/year inspections # per L.S./year Lift station O&M Manhole % of manholes rehabbed rehabilitation Mainline % of sewer lines rehabbed rehabilitation Private sewer 0 % of system/year **Inspections** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024

5/5/2025

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 5/5/2025 2024 Private sewer I/I % of private services 0 removal River or water % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained o crossings Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below: 3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 32,15 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches 36.1 Annual average precipitation (for your location) 12 Miles of sanitary sewer Number of lift stations O Number of lift station failures O Number of sewer pipe failures Number of basement backup occurrences Number of complaints Average daily flow in MGD (if available) O Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available) O Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available) 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year) 0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile) 0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile) Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Avg) Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg) 4. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED \*\* Location Cause Estimated Date Volume None reported \*\* If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected. 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I) 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe: 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? o Yes

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility	5/5/2025	2024
No     If Yes, please describe:		Marian de la Carlo de Maria de La Carlo de Maria de La Carlo de La

		ı, İ.					
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:							
	NONE						
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?							
	Replacing of manhole lids and inner and outer seals						

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/5/2025

2024

### **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0023744

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS			
Influent	А	4	3	12			
BOD/CBOD	В	3	10	30			
TSS	Α	4	5	20			
Ammonia	В	3	5	15			
Phosphorus	В	3	3	9			
Biosolids	Α	4	5	20			
Staffing/PM	А	4	1	4			
OpCert	А	4	1	4			
Financial	А	4	1	4			
Collection	А	4	3	12			
TOTALS			37	130			
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.51							

#### Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/5/2025	Reporting For:
Resolution or Owner's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:		:
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:		
Resolution Number:		
Date of Submittal:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A		C CMAR
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = B		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A	NAMES AND THE PARTY OF THE PART	
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = B		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = B		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems in	f SSOs were reported)	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. = 3.51		ERALL