### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023

2022

### **Influent Flow and Loading**

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.1606	Х	379	Х	8.34	=	508
February	0.1566	Х	349	Х	8.34	=	456
March	0.1576	Х	369	Х	8.34	=	485
April	0.1584	Х	337	Х	8.34	=	445
May	0.1506	Χ	354	Х	8.34	=	445
June	0.1506	Χ	353	Х	8.34	=	443
July	0.1442	Х	350	Х	8.34	=	421
August	0.1492	Х	368	Х	8.34	=	457
September	0.1608	Χ	336	Х	8.34	=	450
October	0.1567	Х	324	Х	8.34	=	423
November	0.1598	Х	355	Х	8.34	=	473
December	0.1717	Х	332	Х	8.34	=	476

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.393	х	90	=	0.3537
		Х	100	=	.393
Design BOD, lbs/day	1060	х	90	=	954
		Х	100	=	1060

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months of Influent	flow was greater	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of	BOD was greater	Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design		
January	1	0	0	0	0		
February	1	0	0	0	0		
March	1	0	0	0	0		
April	1	0	0	0	0		
May	1	0	0	0	0		
June	1	0	0	0	0		
July	1	0	0	0	0		
August	1	0	0	0	0		
September	1	0	0	0	0		
October	1	0	0	0	0		
November	1	0	0	0	0		
December	1	0	0	0	0		
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2		
Exceedances	5	0	0	0	0		
Points		0	0	0	0		
Total Numb	Total Number of Points 0						

0

## **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

	5/9/2023	2022
3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year?  ● Yes  Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)  2023-01-30		
O No  If No, please explain:		
4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohib excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences?  • Yes • No If No, please explain:		f
4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance?  ○ Yes  ● No  If Yes, please explain:		
<ul> <li>5. Septage Receiving</li> <li>5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility?</li> <li>Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>Solution</li> <li>Septic Tanks</li> <li>O Yes</li> <li>■ No</li> <li>If yes, indicate volume in gallons</li> <li>gallons</li> </ul>	ons.	
Holding Tanks  O Yes  Grease Traps		
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is aff any of these wastes.</li> </ul>	ected when receivin	g
<ul> <li>6. Pretreatment</li> <li>6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, bid or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were a commercial or industrial discharges in the last year?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.</li> </ul>		rns,
6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?		

Last Updated: Reporting For:

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023 **2022** 

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/9/2023 2022

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)**

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance	
January	20	18 18	4	1	0	0	
February	20	18	3	1	0	0	
March	20	18	4	1	0	0	
April	20	18	4	1	0	0	
May	20	18	3	1	0	0	
June	20	18	3	1	0	0	
July	20	18	4	1	0	0	
August	20	18	3	1	0	0	
September	20	18	4	1	0	0	
October	20	18	3	1	0	0	0
November	20	18	3	1	0	0	
December	20	18	3	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
Months of discharge/yr 12							
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge					7	3	
Exceedances					0	0	
Points 0						0	
Total numb	per of points					0	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2.	F	low	Meter	Cal	lih	ratio	n

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2023-01-30

O No

If No, please explain:

<b>~</b>	Treatment	D I-	l
≺ .	Iraarmant	Pron	ıamc

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

NONE

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- Yes
- O No

## **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023 **2022** 

	-
If Yes, please explain:	Ī
Our Chlorides effluent had been exceeded.	
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?  • Yes	
● No	
If Yes, please explain:	,
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?  O Yes	
○ No	
• N/A	
Please explain unless not applicable:	,
	11

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated 5/9/2023

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2022

## **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)**

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)	, e. a g e ( g, =,	with a Limit		Exceedance
January	20	18	6	1	0	0
February	20	18	5	1	0	0
March	20	18	5	1	0	0
April	20	18	4	1	0	0
May	20	18	5	1	0	0
June	20	18	5	1	0	0
July	20	18	5	1	0	0
August	20	18	4	1	0	0
September	20	18	4	1	0	0
October	20	18	4	1	0	0
November	20	18	4	1	0	0
December	20	18	5	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per	each exceed	7	3			
Exceedance	S	0	0			
Points		0	0			
Total Numl	ber of Points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/9/2023

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2022

## **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)**

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average NH3	Average NH3	Monthly Average	Permit Limit	Weekly Average	Weekly Average	Weekly Average	Weekly Average	Permit Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed				for Week	Exceed
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
	(IIIg/L)	(1119/ L)	(1119/ L)	ance			,	7	arice
January	9		.2	0					
February	9		.524	0					
March	9		.2	0					
April	7		.2	0					
May	7		.2	0					
June	9.5		.2	0					
July	9.5		.2	0					
August	9.5		.2	0					
September	9.5		.2	0					
October	9		.2	0					
November	9		.2	0					
December	9		.2	0					
Points per each exceedance of Monthly average:								10	
Exceedances, Monthly:								0	
Points:									0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of v	weekly ave	erage (wh	en there is	no month	nly averag	e):	2.5
Exceedance	s, Weekly	:							0
Points:									0
Total Num	ber of Po	ints						_	0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023

2022

## **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)**

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

i otai italiibei oi	<u> </u>			
Total Number of	Points			0
Exceedances	0			
Points per each	10			
Months of Dischar				
December	1	0.056	1	0
November	1	0.062	1	0
October	1	0.068	1	0
September	1	0.083	1	0
August	1	0.094	1	0
July	1	0.461	1	0
June	1	0.034	1	0
May	1	0.076	1	0
April	1	0.072	1	0
March	1	0.108	1	0
February	1	0.098	1	0
January	1	0.058	1	0
	phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance
Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

## **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023

2022

**Biosolids Quality and Management** 

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)	
2. Land Application Site 2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 424.5 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use?  16 acres 2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken?  2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year?  • Yes (30 points) • No 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years?  • Yes • No (10 points) • N/A	0
<ul> <li>3. Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit: <ul> <li>3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.</li> <li>3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0  Exceedence Points  olimits (0 Points)  1-2 (10 Points)  2 (15 Points)  3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)  Yes  No (10 points)  N/A - Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)  N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)  N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)  N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)  Olimits = 0  Exceedence Points  Olimits = 0  O</li></ul></li></ul>	

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023 2022

0

0

0

0

- 0 1 (10 Points)
- $\circ$  > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)

3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?

- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.
- 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.
- 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? O Yes (40 Points)
  - No

If yes, what action was taken?

- 5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):
- 5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.
- 5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? O Yes (40 Points)
- No

If yes, what action was taken?

- 6. Biosolids Storage
- 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?
- >= 180 days (0 Points)
- o 150 179 days (10 Points)
- 120 149 days (20 Points)
- 0 90 119 days (30 Points)
- 0 < 90 days (40 Points)</p>
- N/A (0 Points)
- 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.
- 7. Issues
- 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

NONE

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

# **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023 **2022** 

# **Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)**

<ol> <li>Plant Staffing</li> <li>1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?         <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If No, please explain:</li> <li>Need another 20hr opterator.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Could use more help/staff for:         <ul> <li>Routine Maintenance.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and</li> </ol>	
fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?  ● Yes  ○ No  If No, please explain:	
2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?  ● Yes (Continue with question 2) □□  ○ No (40 points)□□  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:  2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  ● Yes  ○ No (10 points)  2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  ● Yes  ○ Paper file system  ● Computer system  ○ Both paper and computer system	O
<ul> <li>No (10 points)</li> <li>3. O&amp;M Manual</li> <li>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&amp;M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</li> <li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li> <li>○ Excellent</li> <li>○ Very good</li> <li>○ Good</li> <li>● Fair</li> <li>○ Poor</li> <li>Describe your rating:</li> <li>Maintenance is done per O&amp;M manuals</li> </ul>	

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/9/2023	2022

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

0

5/9/2023 2022

### **Operator Certification and Education**

<ul> <li>1. Operator-In-Charge</li> <li>1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?</li> <li>Yes (0 points)</li> <li>No (20 points)</li> <li>Name:</li> </ul>	0
NATHAN L PAOLI	
Certification No: 31701	

- 2. Certification Requirements
- 2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

	1					
Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP	OIC			
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	Χ			X	
A2	Attached Growth Processes					
A3	Recirculating Media Filters					
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural					
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
В	Solids Separation	Χ			X	
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Χ			X	
Р	Total Phosphorus	X			X	
N	Total Nitrogen					
D	Disinfection					
L	Laboratory	X			X	
U	Unique Treatment Systems					
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	Х	NA	

- 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance.)
- Yes (0 points)
- O No (20 points)
- 3. Succession Planning
- 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?

_	C	ne o	r more	additional	certified	opera	tors	on s	staff	•
---	---	------	--------	------------	-----------	-------	------	------	-------	---

- ☐ An arrangement with another certified operator
- ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator
- An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year
- A consultant to serve as your certified operator
- ☐ None of the above (20 points)
- If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:
- 4. Continuing Education Credits
- 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/9/2023 **2022** 

OIT and Basic Certification:

- Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

## **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023

2022

**Financial Management** 

1. Provider of Financial In	formation			
Name:	Marissa Aravena			
Telephone:	(608) 764-5404		(XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address				
(optional):	maravena@deerfield.com			
	-			
treatment plant AND/OR  Yes (0 points) □□  No (40 points)  If No, please explain:  2.2 When was the User Or  Year:  2022  O-2 years ago (0 points)  N/A (private facility)  2.3 Did you have a speci	other revenues sufficient to cove collection system ?  Charge System or other revenue :  s)  points)  al account (e.g., CWFP required sole for repairing or replacing equipments)	source(s) last	reviewed and/or revised?	0
• Yes (0 points)				
O No (40 points)	PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES S	HALL COMDLE	TE OHECTION 31	
3. Equipment Replacemer	nt Funds ment Replacement Fund last revio  s)□□			
3.2 Equipment Replacem	ent Fund Activity			
3.2.1 Ending Balance I	Reported on Last Year's CMAR	\$	521,961.35	
-	ecessary (e.g. earned interest, val of excess funds, increase fall, etc.)	\$	0.00	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1	st Beginning Balance	\$	521,961.35	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund ( earned interest, etc.)	e.g. portion of User Fee,	+ \$	75,327.00	

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

	5/9	9/2023	202	2
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)	\$	0.	.00	
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year	\$ !	597,288.	35	
All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.				
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or maj	or repairs fron	າ 3.2.5 a	bove.	,
				]
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$	412,200.0			0
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as instructions and an example can be found by clicking the Section header in the left-side menu.  3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement F greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?  • Yes • No If No, please explain.	needed. Furtl nInstructions l	ner calcu ink unde	llation er Info	
				]
<ul> <li>4. Future Planning</li> <li>4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal plan or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system</li> <li>Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not a</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	?			
Project Project Description #			Approximate Construction Year	
None reported				]
5. Financial Management General Comments				
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE				
<ul> <li>6. Collection System</li> <li>6.1 Energy Usage</li> <li>6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy :</li> <li>COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed</li> </ul>	sources:			
Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:				

Last Updated: Reporting For:

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023 **2022** 

Self-Priming Pumps				
March 911 April 652 May 596 June 549 July 543 August 573 September 607 October 569 November 640 December 626 Total 8,194 0 Average 683 0  6.1.2 Comments:    Camping Extended Shaft Pumps   Self-Priming Pumps   Self-Priming Pumps   Self-Priming Pumps   Self-Priming Pumps   Other:   Other:   Self-Priming Pumps   Self-Pr	January	1,114		1
April 652  May 596  June 549  July 543  August 573  September 607  October 569  November 640  December 626  Total 8,194 0  Average 683 0  6.1.2 Comments:	February	814		1
May 596  June 549  July 543  August 573  September 607  October 569  November 640  December 626  Total 8,194 0  Average 683 0  S.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 5.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening  Extended Shaft Pumps  Flow Metering and Recording  Pneumatic Pumping  S SCADA System  Self-Priming Pumps  Submersible Pumps  Variable Speed Drives  Other:  3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?  No  Ves  Year:  By Whom:	March	911		1
June 549 July 543 August 573 September 607 October 569 November 640 December 626 Total 8,194 0 Average 683 0  5.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 5.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  3.2.2 Comments:  3.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No Ves Year: By Whom:	April	652		1
July 543  August 573  September 607  October 569  November 640  December 626  Total 8,194 0  Average 683 0  5.1.2 Comments:  2.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):    Comminution or Screening     Extended Shaft Pumps     Flow Metering and Recording     Pneumatic Pumping     SCADA System     Self-Priming Pumps     Self-Priming Pumps     Variable Speed Drives     Other:     Other:     3.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?     December 1629     December	May	596		1
August 573  September 607  October 569  November 640  December 626  Total 8,194 0  Average 683 0  S.1.2 Comments:  2. Energy Related Processes and Equipment 5.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  □ Comminution or Screening □ Extended Shaft Pumps □ Flow Metering and Recording □ Pneumatic Pumping □ ScADA System □ Self-Priming Pumps □ Submersible Pumps □ Variable Speed Drives □ Other: □ 3.2.2 Comments: □ 3.3.4as an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?  ■ No □ Yes Year: □ By Whom:	June	549		1
September 607 October 569 November 640 December 626 Total 8,194 0 Average 683 0  5.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  3.2.2 Comments:  3.3.4 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No O Yes Year: By Whom:	July	543		]
October 569  November 640  December 626  Total 8,194 0  Average 683 0  5.1.2 Comments:	August	573		]
November 640 December 626 Total 8,194 0 Average 683 0  5.1.2 Comments:  2. Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping ScADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  3. Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No Yes Year: By Whom:	September	607		1
Total 8,194 0 Average 683 0  S.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 5.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  3.2.2 Comments:  3.3.4 As an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No Ves Year: By Whom:	October	569		1
Total 8,194 0  Average 683 0  5.1.2 Comments:  2. Energy Related Processes and Equipment 5.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  3.2.2 Comments:  3.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No Yes Year: By Whom:	November	640		1
Average 683 0  5.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 5.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  3.2.2 Comments:  3.4 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No O Yes Year: By Whom:	December	626		1
5.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 5.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  5.2.2 Comments:  3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No O Yes Year:  By Whom:	Total	8,194	0	]
2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 5.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):  Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  5.2.2 Comments:  3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No O Yes Year:  By Whom:	Average	683	0	1
6.2.2 Comments:  .3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?  No  Yes  Year:  By Whom:		ering and Recording		
.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?  No O Yes Year:  By Whom:	<ul><li>SCADA S</li><li>Self-Prim</li><li>Submers</li><li>Variable</li></ul>	ic Pumping System ning Pumps ible Pumps		
No Yes Year: By Whom:	<ul><li>SCADA S</li><li>Self-Prim</li><li>Submers</li><li>Variable</li></ul>	ic Pumping System ning Pumps ible Pumps		
No Yes Year: By Whom:	<ul><li>SCADA S</li><li>Self-Prim</li><li>Submers</li><li>Variable</li><li>Other:</li></ul>	ic Pumping System ning Pumps ible Pumps Speed Drives		
Year: By Whom:	SCADA S     Self-Prim     Submers     Variable     Other:	ic Pumping System ning Pumps ible Pumps Speed Drives		
By Whom:	SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other:  6.2.2 Comm  3 Has an Er	ic Pumping System Ining Pumps Ible Pumps Speed Drives  ents:	ed for your pump/lift statio	ıns?
	SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other:  5.2.2 Comm No	ic Pumping System Ining Pumps Ible Pumps Speed Drives  ents:	ed for your pump/lift statio	ıns?
	SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other:  5.2.2 Comm  No No Yes	ic Pumping System Ining Pumps Ible Pumps Speed Drives  ents:	ed for your pump/lift statio	ns?
Describe and Comment:	SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other:  6.2.2 Comm No Yes Year:	ic Pumping System Ining Pumps Ible Pumps Speed Drives  ents:	ed for your pump/lift statio	nns?
Describe and Comment:	SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other:  3.2.2 Comm No Yes Year:	ic Pumping System Ining Pumps Ible Pumps Speed Drives  ents:	ed for your pump/lift statio	ons?
	SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other:  3 Has an Er No Yes Year: By Whom:	ic Pumping System System Sing Pumps Speed Drives  ents:  hergy Study been performe	ed for your pump/lift statio	ins?

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023 **2022** 

6.4	Future	Energy	Related	Equipment
-----	--------	--------	---------	-----------

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

### 7. Treatment Facility

- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

### **TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month**

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	28,000	4.98	5,622	15.75	1,778	301
February	23,200	4.38	5,297	12.77	1,817	228
March	24,000	4.89	4,908	15.04	1,596	158
April	20,800	4.75	4,379	13.35	1,558	71
May	22,000	4.67	4,711	13.80	1,594	2
June	22,000	4.52	4,867	13.29	1,655	1
July	16,800	4.47	3,758	13.05	1,287	2
August	18,000	4.63	3,888	14.17	1,270	2
September	18,000	4.82	3,734	13.50	1,333	4
October	17,600	4.86	3,621	13.11	1,342	25
November	19,200	4.79	4,008	14.19	1,353	182
December	32,800	5.32	6,165	14.76	2,222	313
Total	262,400	57.08		166.78		1,289
Average	21,867	4.76	4,580	13.90	1,567	107

	١.2				

7.2 Energy Related Processes and I	Equipment
------------------------------------	-----------

- 7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
- □ Aerobic Digestion
- ☐ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☑ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- □ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- □ Effluent Pumping
- ☑ Influent Pumping
- ☐ Nitrification
- ☐ UV Disinfection
- ✓ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

## **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/9/2023 <b>2</b>	2022
7.2.2 Comments:	<u> </u>
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment	
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?	
readment racinty:	
	_
8. Biogas Generation	
<ul><li>8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?</li><li>No</li></ul>	
o Yes	
If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):	
☐ Flared Off	
☐ Building Heat	
☐ Process Heat	
☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other:	
	$\neg$
9. Energy Efficiency Study	
<ul><li>9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?</li><li>No</li></ul>	
o Yes	
☐ Entire facility	
Year:	
By Whom:	
Describe and Comment:	
☐ Part of the facility	
Year:	
By Whom:	
Describe and Comments	
Describe and Comment:	<b></b>

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/9/2023	2022

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/9/2023 2022

## **Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems**

1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program 1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
○ No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
o No (30 points)
○ N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the
components and items that apply)  ☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Continue to Rehab manholes in the collection system. Clean 10% of sewer mains.
Did you accomplish them?  ● Yes
o No
If No, explain:
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☐ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☐ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
☐ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
☐ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
Village of Deerfield Sewer use ordiance.
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2022-01-02
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:  ☑ Private property inflow and infiltration
☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
☐ Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☑ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☐ Up-to-date sewer system map
☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/9/2023 A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below) ☐ Capacity assessment program ☑ Basement back assessment and correction □ Regular O&M training  $\boxtimes$  Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)] $\square\square$ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property? ☑ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements □ Construction, Inspection, and Testing □ Others:  $\square$  Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]  $\square$ Does your emergency response capability include: 0 ☑ Responsible personnel communication procedures □ Response order, timing and clean-up ☑ Public notification protocols ☑ Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures  $\square$  Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)] $\square$ ☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) ☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP) ☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☐ Others: 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. % of system/year Cleaning 10 % of system/year Root removal 10 % of system/year Flow monitoring % of system/year Smoke testing Sewer line % of system/year televising Manhole 10 % of system/year inspections # per L.S./year Lift station O&M Manhole % of manholes rehabbed rehabilitation Mainline 0 % of sewer lines rehabbed rehabilitation Private sewer % of system/year inspections Private sewer I/I % of private services removal

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2022

# Deerfield Wastewater Treatment FacilityLast Updated:<br/>5/9/2023Reporting For:<br/>2022

River or water								
crossings 0 % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained								
	Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:							
3.	Performance Indicators	 S						
3.	3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year.							
ļ	33.45 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches							
ļ	36.1 Annual average precipitation (for your location)							
ļ	12 Miles of sanitary sewer							
ļ	Number of lift stations							
ļ		umber of lift station failures						
ļ	0 Ni	umber of sewer pipe failures						
	<u>0</u> Nı	umber of basement backup occurrences						
	0 Number of complaints							
	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)							
	0 Pe	eak monthly flow in MGD (if available)						
	0 Pe	eak hourly flow in MGD (if available)						
3.	2 Performance ratios fo							
ļ		ft station failures (failures/year)	_					
ļ		ewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/						
ļ		anitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile	e/yr)					
ļ	0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile)							
	0.00 Cd	omplaints (number/sewer mile)						
	Pe	eaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Da	ily Avg)					
	Pe	eaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily	y Avg)					
4.	Overflows							
	LIST OF SANITARY SE	WER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO	O) OVERFLOWS RE	PORTED **				
	Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume				
		None reported						
	** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.							
5.	Infiltration / Inflow (I/							
5.	1 Was infiltration/inflo	ow (I/I) significant in your community last ye	ear?					
	o Yes							
	● No							
	If Yes, please describe:	•						
5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?								
•	• Yes							
•	● No							
	If Yes, please describe:							

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/9/2023 2022

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

NONE

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

Manhole rehab, Inner and Outer manhole seals, Replacing casting and covers.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/9/2023

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2022

## **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0023744

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS			
Influent	A	4	3	12			
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40			
TSS	A	4	5	20			
Ammonia	A	4	5	20			
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12			
Biosolids	A	4	5	20			
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4			
OpCert	A	4	1	4			
Financial	A	4	1	4			
Collection	A	4	3	12			
TOTALS			37	148			
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00							

### Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/9/2023	Reporting For <b>2022</b>
Resolution or Owner's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:  Village of Deerfield		
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:  2023-05-08		
Resolution Number: #R2023-02		
Date of Submittal:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A		C CMAR
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if	SSOs were reported)	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P. G.P.A. = 4.00		:RALL

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/9/2023 2022

### DNR Response to Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing Body or Owner:

Village of Deerfield

Date of Resolution or

Action Taken:

2023-05-08

Resolution Number:

#R2023-02

Date of Submittal: 5/9/2023

### ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):

Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A

**Permittee Response:** 

### **DNR Response:**

The influent hydraulic loading for 2022 was excellent averaging 0.156 MGD (39.8% design capacity) with a maximum of 0.172 MGD (43.7% design capacity).

The influent organic loading for 2022 was Good averaging 456.833 lbs/day (43.1% design capacity) with a maximum of 508 lbs/day (47.9% design capacity).

Effluent Ouality: BOD: Grade =

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

The effluent BOD quality for 2022 was excellent averaging 3.417 mg/L (17.08% of the limit) with maximums of 4 mg/L (20.00% of the limit) for the month of January, 4 mg/L (20.00% of the limit) for the month of March, 4 mg/L (20.00% of the limit) for the month of April, 4 mg/L (20.00% of the limit) for the month of July, and 4 mg/L (20.00% of the limit) for the month of September.

Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A

**Permittee Response:** 

### **DNR Response:**

The effluent TSS quality for 2022 was excellent averaging 4.667 mg/L (23.33% of the limit) with a maximum of 6 mg/L (30.00% of the limit) for the month of January.

Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

The effluent ammonia quality for 2022 was excellent averaging 0.227 mg/L (2.59% of the limit) with a maximum of 0.524 mg/L (5.82% of the limit) for the month of February.

Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade =

#### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/9/2023 **2022** 

### **Permittee Response:**

### **DNR Response:**

The effluent phosphorus quality for 2022 was excellent averaging 0.106 mg/L (10.58% of the limit) with a maximum of 0.461 mg/L (46.10% of the limit) for the month of July.

Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A

### **Permittee Response:**

#### **DNR Response:**

Land Spreading records and reporting is all acceptable and meeting NR 204 requirements.

Staffing: Grade = A

### **Permittee Response:**

### **DNR Response:**

Please continue to do preventive maintenance at the wastewater treatment facility as you have in the past.

Operator Certification: Grade = A

### **Permittee Response:**

### **DNR Response:**

The Operator in Charge of the treatment plant is certified at the proper grades.

Financial Management: Grade = A

### **Permittee Response:**

### **DNR Response:**

Continue to monitor the facility's financial situation and make changes as necessary.

Collection Systems: Grade = A

(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)

#### **Permittee Response:**

### **DNR Response:**

Please make sure an annual review and update of your CMOM occurs according to NR 210.23(5)(b) Wis. Adm. Code. During this time, the goals should be evaluated to help determine the success of the CMOM program. It is recommended that the developed goals are realistic and measurable to assist with the annual audits.

# ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS

(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)

G.P.A. = 4

#### **Permittee Response:**

### **DNR G.P.A. Response:**

The department does not require any additional action be taken this year in response to the CMAR.

### **Deerfield Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/9/2023 **2022** 

### **DNR CMAR Overall Response:**

Thank you for completing and submitting your 2022 CMAR. The CMAR is an annual self-evaluation of your wastewater treatment plant, collection system, and associated wastewater management activities. Everything looks to be in order and is operating well. There are no other requirements at this time. Nice job and thank you again.

**DNR Reviewer:**Brechlin, Ashley **Phone:** (608) 267-7640

**Address:** 3911 Fish Hatchery Rd, Fitchburg, WI 53711-5367 **Date:** 7/19/2023